



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/855,458	05/15/2001	Patrick Denis Lincoln	SRI/4361	9224
52197 7590 08/06/2007 PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP SRI INTERNATIONAL 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE SUITE 100 SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			EXAMINER SMITH, CAROLYN L	
			ART UNIT 1631	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 08/06/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/855,458	LINCOLN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carolyn L. Smith	1631	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 May 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 96,98,100 and 102-104 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 96,98,100 and 102-104 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicants' submission, filed 5/31/07, has been entered.

Claims herein under examination are 96, 98, 100, and 102-104.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 96, 98, 100, and 102-104 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thalhammer-Reyero (US 5,980,096) with additional support from Merriam-Webster online dictionary ("multi-" and "set").

This rejection is maintained.

According to the Merriam-Webster online dictionary, "multi-" is defined as "more than one" and "set" is defined as "a number of things of the same kind that belong or are used together" (see attached sheets). These definitions are not being used as prior art, but rather to provide support for the meaning of these terms.

Thalhammer-Reyero discloses a hardware and software environments for their systems, graphical interfaces, and methods for graphic information storage and retrieval, visual modeling, and dynamic simulation of complex biochemical systems that can encode modeling and simulation knowledge in sets of icons connected in schematics (col. 4, lines 59-67; col. 8, lines 56-67; and col. 18, lines 13-19) as well as processor and program means (claim 72) which represents an article comprising machine-readable media having encoded thereon a model of a biological system and software configured to cause a processor to run a method (as stated in instant claim 96). Thalhammer-Reyero discloses representing characteristics of biochemical and cellular entities in the form of symbols, building blocks with each object containing a set of slots (i.e. symbols), as well as the parallel and serial sets of processes in which they interact (col. 5, line 63 to col. 6, line 6 and col. 6, lines 45-55), intracellular signaling pathways and lists of cell types containing certain molecules (col. 10, lines 46-50 and col. 54, lines 6-9), sets of icons, including component icons (abstract), modeling sets of processes and their participants (col. 1, second paragraph; col. 5, first paragraph), integrating sets of building blocks (col. 6, line 10), using diverse sets of objects (col. 13, fourth paragraph; col. 21, last paragraph; col. 25, second paragraph), and multiple input links and multiple linked reactants (claims 53 and 72), and simultaneously analyzing multiple experimental parameters (third to last paragraph) which represents a multi-set of symbols representing one or more biological elements of a biological system in an initial hypothetical state and first and second sets of symbols from cells. The list of cells containing certain molecules (col. 10, lines 46-50) also represents one or more symbols in a first set being in a second set. Thalhammer-Reyero discloses that these entities represented by icons may participate in synthesis, degradation, modifications, interactions and translocation

Art Unit: 1631

processes and can change dynamically at run time (col. 6, lines 30-39). Thalhammer-Reyero discloses inference engines that search for and execute relevant rules and methods that comprise rules and procedures that are object-oriented and applied to the bioObjects as well as creating and modifying models as needed based on experimentation based on these rules and interactions involving alternatives (abstract, col. 3, lines 59-62; col. 6, lines 39-45; and col. 10, lines 1-24) which represents substituting symbols, rules representing interactions between biological elements, and an inference engine to process rules for initial and alternative (modified) states. Thalhammer-Reyero discloses describing characteristics of objects as symbols with text, values, variables, or other attributes (col. 6, lines 50-62) which represents the symbols being typed, as stated in instant claim 102. Thalhammer-Reyero discloses objects within classes as well as chemical processes and their participants arranged in hierarchies (col. 5, lines 1-16 and col. 6, lines 63-67) which represents an organization of hierarchical classes, as stated in instant claim 103. Thalhammer-Reyero discloses class hierarchy and performing methods attached to an object's class (col. 4, lines 1-11) which represents a symbol (object) being matched by another symbol (object) that is a member of the hierarchical class, as stated in instant claim 104. Thalhammer-Reyero discloses units of all variables in all bioPools connected to the same bioprocess have to be appropriately matched (col. 58, lines 58-62) which represents a symbol being matched to another symbol that is a member of the hierarchical class, as stated in instant claim 104. Thalhammer-Reyero discloses mathematical models, manipulating data via operations, using methods associated with component icons and interconnecting each pool to several processes, using functions and graphical interfaces associated with each icon (abstract and col. 15, lines 32-34), and modes of operation and display including rule processing and

Art Unit: 1631

relationships as well as formulas and functions (col. 37, lines 43-51) which represent one or more rules comprising an operator for expressing a relationship between biological elements and conforming to associative and commutative properties, as stated in instant claim 96.

Thalhammer-Reyero discloses concurrently monitoring thousands of variables (col. 4, lines 23 and 39-40; col. 18, lines 35-37) and executing rules and procedures to implement different strategies concurrently over time supporting symbolic expression (col. 19, lines 18-25) which represents rules expressing concurrent state transitions, as stated in instant claim 98.

Thalhammer-Reyero discloses iterating the process (col. 9, lines 57-59) which represents that some of the rules are not terminating. Thalhammer-Reyero discloses programming the models into networks of interacting pathways including feedback and forward loops (col. 6, lines 20-24), as stated in instant claim 100. Thalhammer-Reyero discloses a function involving an “if...then” statement (col. 52, lines 1-7) which represents a rule that is conditional. Thalhammer-Reyero discloses symbols representing entities participating in pharmacological reactions (col. 5, line 66 to col. 6, line 6 and col. 6, line 54) and pharmacological or other experimental molecules added to the system from an external environment (col. 59, lines 4-6) which represents symbols that represent exogenous agents. Thalhammer-Reyero discloses an inference engine that receives input from a user (col. 19, lines 28-34), iterating the process (col. 9, lines 57-59), inference engines that search for and execute relevant rules and methods that comprise rules and procedures that are object-oriented and applied to the bioObjects as well as creating and modifying models as needed for experimentation based on these rules and interactions involving alternatives (abstract, col. 3, lines 59-62; col. 6, lines 39-45; and col. 10, lines 1-24; and Tables 54-55) which represents substituting symbols, rules representing interactions between biological

Art Unit: 1631

elements, and an inference engine to process rules for initial and alternative (modified) states which simulates a biological reaction/system, as stated in instant claim 96. Thalhammer-Reyero discloses the use of a second set of variables (col. 60, lines 23-29) that represents a second set for a second state of a biological system. Thalhammer-Reyero discloses comparing two lists of bioReservoirs and bioEntities (symbol sets), loops over all entities from a bioReservoirs and scans connections to certain classes (col. 96, lines 45-51; col. 97, lines 40-65; and col. 100, lines 23-57) which represents comparing a second set to one of the alternate states (as mentioned above). Thalhammer-Reyero discloses scanning the density value of the bioReservoir according to a symbolic value of the abundance attribute in a normal state and then the inference engine scans values of other sources in alternative states to compare to a threshold parameter (col. 107, lines 7-67; col. 112, lines 30-33; Tables 56 and 58; Figures 27-28) as well as initial conditions of basal quantity such that scaled-value set of variables are constrained not to be less than 0 and only activating and deactivating certain groups (col. 113, lines 13-35) which represents maintaining value if it exceeds the threshold parameter. Thalhammer-Reyero discloses outputting results and displaying graphs (Figures 4, 15, 17; col. 17, lines 42-45; col. 22, last paragraph; col. 45, last paragraph), modeling pathways and integrating outputs (col. 76, line 30, col. 78, first two paragraphs; Figure 16) with products that are outputs of the pathway (col. 86, fifth paragraph) which represents providing an output of a terminal state or alternative states, as stated in instant claim 96. Thalhammer-Reyero discloses modeling that predicts experimental outcomes (col. 3, first paragraph), identifying chemical entities involved in a system and predicting effects of perturbations on a system (col. 9, lines 18-45) and a system, graphical interface, and methods for interpreting characteristics of chemical entities in pharmaceutical

Art Unit: 1631

reactions, including how they interact and are regulated (col. 5, line 63 to col. 6, line 6) along with graphic models to allow for more targeted navigation and exploration (col. 36, second paragraph) and information about substrates or groups targeted by an enzyme (col. 54, lines 27-29) which represents outputting that is indicative of drug target identification, as stated in instant claim 96.

Thus, Thalhammer-Reyero anticipates the instant invention.

Applicants summarize claim 96 and argue that the claim term "multiset" is a well understood concept and term of art in mathematics, representing a branch of set theory in which each element of the set has a multiplicity of membership. Applicants provide an example. Applicants further argue explicit significance of multiplicity among symbols representing biological elements is provided by the receipt of the multiset of symbols in the inference engine, as claimed, without reading any limitations from the specification into the claims. These statements are found unpersuasive as the term "multiset" has not been provided a clear and concise definition in the specification, so that it has been interpreted broadly and reasonably to mean more than one set.

Applicants argue that with regard to the Examiner's statement that the specification does not provide a clear and concise definition of "multiset," the term "multiset" as used in the present application has the meaning set forth herein as one skilled in the art would understand based on the well-understood term "multiset," and reinforced by the operators for "multiset" or "set" identified in the next to the last full paragraph on page 3 of the specification. This statement is found unpersuasive as "multiset" is only stated once in the specification (on page 3, as noted

Art Unit: 1631

above) without a clear and concise definition and is presented as an additional embodiment that is not the main invention as disclosed in the specification.

Applicants argue that they need not disclose what is well-known to those skilled in the art and preferably may omit that which is well-known to those skilled and already available to the public (see, M.P.E.P. § 2164.05(a) citing *In re Buchner*, 929 F.2d 660,661, 18 USPQ2d 1331, 1332 (Fed. Cir. 1991); *Hybritech, Inc. v. Monoclonal Antibodies, Inc.*, 802 F.2d 1367, 1384, 231 USPQ 81, 94 (Fed. Cir. 1986), cert. denied, 480 U.S. 947 (1987); and *Lindemann Maschinenfabrik GMBH v. American Hoist & Derrick Co.*, 730 F.2d 1452, 1463, 221 USPQ 481,489 (Fed. Cir. 1984). This statement is found unpersuasive as multiset can be interpreted many ways and applicants did not specify in their specification how this term was to be defined. It is noted that one single definition provided by Applicants does not qualify the term as being "well known". Applicants argue that the Examiner improperly attempts to interpret the term as "more than one set" without providing any basis for the interpretation that appears to somehow assume that "multiset" is not a term on its own but rather a contraction of the phrase "multiple sets." This statement is found unpersuasive as a term that is not provided a clear and concise definition in the specification and is not necessarily considered to be "well known" is to be interpreted broadly and reasonably.

Applicants submit an affidavit from Sam Owre who states in his opinion the term "multiset" is a well understood concept and term of art in set theory. This conclusory statement is found unpersuasive as it is reiterated that multiset can be interpreted many ways and applicants did not specify in their specification how this term was to be defined. Therefore, it has been

Art Unit: 1631

given a broad and reasonable interpretation. Applicants' arguments are deemed unpersuasive for the reasons given above.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (See 37 CFR §1.6(d)). The Central Fax Center number for official correspondence is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn Smith, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0721. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8 A.M. to 6:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla, can be reached on (571) 272-0735.

July 24, 2007

/Carolyn Smith/
Primary Examiner
AU 1631